arXiv:1404.2788v2 [math.NT] 21 Jul 2014

CHARACTERISTIC IDEALS AND SELMER GROUPS

ANDREA BANDINI, FRANCESC BARS, AND IGNAZIO LONGHI

Abstract. Let A be an abelian variety defined over a global field F of positive characteristic p and let $\mathcal{F}/F$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$-extension, unramified outside a finite set of places of F. Assuming that all ramified places are totally ramified, we define a pro-characteristic ideal associated to the Pontrjagin dual of the p-primary Selmer group of A, in order to formulate an Iwasawa Main Conjecture for the non-noetherian commutative Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)]]$ (which we also prove for a constant abelian variety). To do this we first show the relation between the characteristic ideals of duals of Selmer groups for a $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$-extension $\mathcal{F}_d/F$ and for any $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d-1}$-extension contained in $\mathcal{F}_d$, and then use a limit process.

1. Introduction

Let F be a global function field of characteristic p and $\mathcal{F}/F$ a $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$-extension unramified outside a finite set of places. We take an abelian variety A defined over F and let S_A be a finite set of places of F containing exactly the ramified primes and the primes of bad reduction for A. For any extension v of some place of F to the algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ and for any finite extension E/F, we denote by E_v the completion of E with respect to v and, if $\mathcal{L}/F$ is infinite, we put $\mathcal{L}_v := \cup E_v$, where the union is taken over all finite subextensions of $\mathcal{L}$. We define the p-part of the *Selmer group* of A over E as

$$Sel(E) := Sel_A(E)_p := Ker\left\{ H^1_{fl}(X_E, A[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1_{fl}(X_{E_v}, A)[p^\infty] \right\}$$

(where the map is the product of the natural restrictions at all places v of E, $X_E := Spec(E)$ and H^1_{fl} denotes flat cohomology). For infinite algebraic extensions we define the Selmer groups by taking direct limits on all the finite subextensions. For any algebraic extension K/F, let $\mathcal{S}(K)$ denote the Pontrjagin dual of $Sel(K)$ (other Pontrjagin duals will be indicated by the symbol $^\vee$).

For any infinite Galois extension $\mathcal{L}/F$, let $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/F)]]$ be the associated Iwasawa algebra: we recall that, if $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, then $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, .., t_d]]$ is a Krull domain. We are interested in the definition of the analogue of a characteristic ideal in $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ (a similar result providing a *pro-characteristic ideal* for the Iwasawa module of class groups is described in [3]).

If R is a noetherian Krull domain and M a finitely generated torsion R-module, the structure theorem for M provides an exact sequence

e:1 (1.1) $$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R/\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

where the $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s are height 1 prime ideals of R and P and Q are pseudo-null R-modules (i.e., torsion modules with annihilator of height at least 2). With this sequence one defines the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23; 11G35.

Key words and phrases. Characteristic ideals; Iwasawa theory; Selmer groups.

F. Bars supported by MTM2013-40680-P.

characteristic ideal of M as

$$Ch_R(M) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$$

(if M is not torsion, we put $Ch_R(M) = 0$ and if M is pseudo-null, then $Ch_R(M) = (1)$). In commutative Iwasawa theory characteristic ideals provide the algebraic counterpart for the p-adic L-functions associated to Iwasawa modules (such as duals of Selmer groups). We fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ for Γ and for any $d \geqslant 0$ we let $\mathcal{F}_d \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the fixed field of $\{\gamma_i\}_{i>d}$. The correspondence $\gamma_i - 1 \leftrightarrow t_i$ provides an isomorphism between the subring $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ of $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$: by a slight abuse of notation, the two shall be identified in this paper. In particular, for any $d \geqslant 1$ we have $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})[[t_d]]$ (we remark that the isomorphism is uniquely determined once the γ_i have been fixed, but we allow complete freedom in their initial choice). Our goal is to define an element in $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ associated to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ via a limit of the $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$.

Let π_{d-1}^d be the canonical projection $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d) \to \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ with kernel $I_{d-1}^d = (t_d)$ and put $\Gamma_{d-1}^d := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$. In order to define a pro-characteristic ideal for the non-noetherian Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$, we need to study the relation between $\pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(S(\mathcal{F}_d)))$ and $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(S(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$. A general technique to deal with this type of descent and ensure that the limit does not depend on the filtration has been described in [3, Theorem 2.13]. That theorem is based on a generalization of some results of [9, Section 3] (which directly apply to our algebras $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$, even without the generalization to Krull domains provided in [3]) and can be applied to the $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$-module $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim_d \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$. In our setting [3, Theorem 2.13] reads as follows

IntroThmBBL2 **Theorem 1.1.** *If, for any $d \gg 1$,*

1. *the t_d-torsion submodule of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is a pseudo-null $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$-module, i.e.,*

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)_{t_d}) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) = (1) \ ;$$

2. $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/t_d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) \subseteq Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$,

then we can define a pro-characteristic ideal *for $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ as*

$$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) := \varprojlim_d (\pi_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}^{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})})^{-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{F})$$

(where $\pi_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}^{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})} : \Lambda(\mathcal{F}) \to \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is the natural projection).

In Section 2 we show that if $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e)$ is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$-torsion, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$-torsion for any $d \geqslant e$ and use [3, Proposition 2.10] to provide a general relation

DescentEq
$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \cdot \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot \theta_{d-1}^d \tag{1.2}$$

(see (2.7) where the extra factor θ_{d-1}^d is more explicit). Then we move to the totally ramified setting, i.e., extensions in which all ramified primes are assumed to be totally ramified. An example are the extensions obtained from F by adding the $\mathfrak{a}^n$-torsion points of a normalized rank 1 Drinfeld module over F. In this setting, adapting some techniques and results of K.-S. Tan ([13]), we check the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 using equation (1.2), and obtain (see Corollary 3.5 and Definition 3.6)

IntroThm **Theorem 1.2.** *Assume all ramified primes in $\mathcal{F}/F$ are totally ramified, then for any $d \gg 0$*

$$\pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = (p^\nu) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$$

for some $\nu \geqslant 0$ (if no unramified place $v \in S_A$ splits completely in $\mathcal{F}$, then $\nu = 0$), and the pro-characteristic ideal

$$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) := \varprojlim_d (\pi^{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)})^{-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$$

is well defined.

As an application, we use a deep result of Lai - Longhi - Tan - Trihan [7] to show that such limit is the algebraic counterpart of a p-adic L-function in the non-noetherian Iwasawa Main Conjecture for constant abelian varieties (see Theorem 3.7).

2. General $\mathbb{Z}_p$-descent for Selmer groups

SelGrSec

To be able to define characteristic ideals we need the following

SelStruct **Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that A has good ordinary or split multiplicative reduction at all primes of the finite set S_A. Then, for any d and any $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$-extension $\mathcal{L}/F$ contained in $\mathcal{F}$, the group $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$-module.*

Proof. In this form the theorem is due to Tan ([12]). See also [2, Section 2] and the references there. □

In order to obtain a nontrivial relation between the characteristic ideals, we need something more than just Theorem 2.1, so we make the following

AssSel **Assumption 2.2.** There exists an $e > 0$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e)$ is a torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$-module.

Remarks 2.3.

1. This hypothesis is satisfied in many cases: for example when $\mathcal{F}_e$ contains the arithmetic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F (proof in [13, Theorem 2], extending [10, Theorem 1.7]) or when $Sel(F)$ is finite and A has good ordinary reduction at all places which ramify in $\mathcal{F}_e/F$ (easy consequence of [12, Theorem 4]).
2. Our goal is an equation relating $\pi^d_{d-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$ and the characteristic ideal of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$. If the above assumption is not satisfied for any e, then all characteristic ideals are 0 and there is nothing to prove.

In this section we also assume that none of the ramified prime has trivial decomposition group in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_1/F)$. In Section 3 we shall work in extensions in which ramified places are totally ramified, so this assumption will be automatically verified. Anyway this is not restrictive in general because of the following

RamLemma **Lemma 2.4.** *If $d > 2$, one can always find a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subextension $\mathcal{F}_1/F$ of $\mathcal{F}_d/F$ in which none of the ramified places splits completely.*

Proof. See [3, Lemma 3.1] □

Consider the diagram

DiagSel (2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Sel(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a^d_{d-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b^d_{d-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c^d_{d-1}} \\ Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_d := Spec(\mathcal{F}_d)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_d)$ is the image of the product of the restriction maps

$$H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_w H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d,w}, A)[p^\infty] \ ,$$

with w running over all places of $\mathcal{F}_d$.

KercTor **Lemma 2.5.** *For any $d \geqslant 2$, the Pontrjagin dual of* $\operatorname{Ker} c^d_{d-1}$ *is a finitely generated torsion* $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$*-module.*

Proof. For any place v of F we fix an extension to $\mathcal{F}$, which by a slight abuse of notation we still denote by v, so that the set of places of $\mathcal{F}_d$ above v will be the Galois orbit $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_d/F)\cdot v$. For any field L let $\mathcal{P}_L$ be the set of places of L. We have an obvious injection

e:kerckerh
$$\operatorname{Ker} c^d_{d-1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{u\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{d-1}}} \operatorname{Ker}\left\{ H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d-1,u},A)[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \prod_{w|u} H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d,w},A)[p^\infty]\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

(the map is the product of the natural restrictions r_w). By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we get

kerrwh1
$$\operatorname{Ker} r_w \simeq H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}$ is the decomposition group of w in Γ^d_{d-1} . As w varies among the places dividing u, those kernels are isomorphic, so fixing primes $w \mid u \mid v$, we can regard $\operatorname{Ker}\prod_{w|u} r_w$ as a submodule of

$$\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) := \prod_{u\in\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}/F)\cdot v} H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]\ .$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) = 0$ for all primes which totally split in $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ and, from now on, we only consider inert or ramified places.
Let $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{i,v}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{i,v}/F_v)]]$ be the Iwasawa algebra associated to the decomposition group of v and note that each $\operatorname{Ker} r_w$ is a $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})$-module. Moreover, we get an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_d/F)$ on $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)$ by permutation of the primes and an isomorphism

e:lemmatan
$$\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) \simeq \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) \otimes_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})} H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] \tag{2.4}$$

for some fixed w (provided that $H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]$ is finitely generated over $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})$, more details can be found in [13, Section 3]).
First assume that the place v is unramified in $\mathcal{F}_d/F$ (hence inert in $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$). Then $\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v} = F_v \neq \mathcal{F}_{d,v}$ and one has, by [8, Proposition I.3.8],

$$H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) \simeq H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v}))\ ,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{0,v}$ is the closed fiber of the Néron model of A over F_v and $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})$ its set of connected components. It follows that $H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]$ is trivial when v does not lie above S_A and that it is finite of order bounded by (the p-part of) $|\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})|$ for the unramified places of bad reduction. Hence

$$\operatorname{Ker} c^d_{d-1} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v\in S_A} \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)$$

and, by (2.4), $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$-module for unramified v.
For the ramified case the exact sequence

$$A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p] \hookrightarrow A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) \xrightarrow{\ p\ } pA(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})$$

yields a surjection

$$H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,v}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p]) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,v}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p]\ .$$

The first module is obviously finite, so $H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,v}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p]$ is finite as well: this implies that $H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee$ has finite $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank. As a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, $H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee$ must be $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_{d-1,v}]]$-torsion (because of our choice of $\mathcal{F}_1/F$) and (2.4) shows once again that $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$ is finitely generated and torsion over $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$. □

Remark 2.6. One can go deeper in the details and compute those kernels according to the reduction of A at v and the behaviour of v in $\mathcal{F}_d/F$. We will do this in Section 3 but only for the particular case of a totally ramified extension (with the statement of a Main Conjecture as a final goal). See [13] for a more general analysis.

The following proposition provides a crucial step towards equation (1.2) (in particular it takes care of hypothesis **2** of Theorem 1.1).

FinGenSel **Proposition 2.7.** *Let e be as in Assumption 2.2. For any $d > e$, the module $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$-module and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$-module. Moreover, if $d > \max\{2, e\}$,*

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\mathrm{Coker}\, a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) \ .$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the first statement for $d = e + 1$, since then a standard argument (detailed e.g. in [6, page 207]) shows that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})$ is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})$-torsion and we can iterate the process. From diagram (2.1) one gets a sequence

DualSeqSel
$$(\mathrm{Coker}\, a_e^{e+1})^\vee \hookrightarrow (Sel(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})^{\Gamma_e^{e+1}})^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathrm{Ker}\, a_e^{e+1})^\vee \ . \tag{2.5}$$

By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, it follows

$$\mathrm{Coker}\, b_e^{e+1} \hookrightarrow H^2(\Gamma_e^{e+1}, A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_{e+1})) = 0$$

(because Γ_e^{e+1} has p-cohomological dimension 1). Therefore there is a surjective map

$$\mathrm{Ker}\, c_e^{e+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}\, a_e^{e+1}$$

and, by Lemma 2.5, $(\mathrm{Coker}\, a_e^{e+1})^\vee$ is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$-torsion. Hence Assumption 2.2 and sequence (2.5) yield that

$$(Sel(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})^{\Gamma_e^{e+1}})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})/I_e^{e+1}$$

is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$-torsion. To conclude note that (for any d) the duals of

$$\mathrm{Ker}\, a_{d-1}^d \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\, b_{d-1}^d \simeq H^1(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_d)) \simeq A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d$$

are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules (hence pseudo-null over $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ for any $d \geqslant 3$). Taking characteristic ideals in the sequence (2.5), for large enough d, one finds

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\mathrm{Coker}\, a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) \ .$$

□

Whenever $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$-module, [3, Proposition 2.10] yields

CharIdSel1
$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \cdot \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) \ . \tag{2.6}$$

If $d > \max\{2, e\}$, equation (2.6) turns into

CharIdSel2
$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\mathrm{Coker}\, a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) \, . \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, whenever we can prove that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$ is a pseudo-null $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$-module (i.e., hypothesis **1** of Theorem 1.1), we immediately get

CharIdSel3
$$\pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) \subseteq Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \tag{2.8}$$

and Theorem 1.1 will provide the definition of the pro-characteristic ideal for $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ we were looking for.

3. $\mathbb{Z}_p$-DESCENT FOR TOTALLY RAMIFIED EXTENSIONS

pramSel

The main examples we have in mind are extensions satisfying the following

AssRam **Assumption 3.1.** The (finitely many) ramified places of $\mathcal{F}/F$ are totally ramified.

In what follows an extension satisfying this assumption will be called a *totally ramified extension*. A prototypical example is the $\mathfrak{a}$-cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ generated by the $\mathfrak{a}$-torsion of the Carlitz module ($\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, see e.g. [11, Chapter 12]). As usual in Iwasawa theory over number fields, most of the proofs will work (or can be adapted) simply assuming that ramified primes are totally ramified in $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_e$ for some $e \geqslant 0$, but, in the function field setting, one would need some extra hypothesis on the behaviour of these places in $\mathcal{F}_e/F$ (as we have seen with Lemma 2.4, note that in totally ramified extensions any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subextension can play the role of $\mathcal{F}_1$).

CharIdCoker **Theorem 3.2.** *Assume $\mathcal{F}/F$ is a totally ramified extension, then, for $d \gg 0$, there exists a $\nu \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) = (p^\nu) \ .$$

Moreover if no unramified place $v \in S_A$ splits completely in $\mathcal{F}$, then, for $d \gg 0$, we have

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) = (1) \ .$$

Proof. The proof of Proposition 2.7 shows that the $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$-modules $(\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee$ and $(\text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d)^\vee$ are pseudo-isomorphic for $d \geqslant 3$. Moreover, by the proof of Lemma 2.5, we know that $(\text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d)^\vee$ is a quotient of $\bigoplus_{v \in S_A} \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$. By equation (2.4), we have

TanChId (3.1) $$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee) \ .$$

We also saw that, for a ramified prime v, $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$ (which is $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee$, because v is totally ramified) is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, hence pseudo-null over $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v}) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ for $d \geqslant 3$.
Now we check the unramified primes in S_A . If v splits completely in $\mathcal{F}/F$, then $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ for any d and, since (by Lemma 2.5) $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee$ is finite, we get

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee) = |H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee| = (p^{\nu_v}) \ .$$

If v is inert in some extension $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$, then

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{r,v}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_d]] \text{ for any } r \geqslant d \ .$$

Hence

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{r-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p^\infty]^\vee) = (1) \text{ for any } r \geqslant d+1 \ .$$

These local informations and (3.1) yield the theorem. □

Now we deal with the other extra term of equation (2.7), i.e., $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})$. Note first that, taking duals

$$(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee/(\gamma_d - 1) = Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma_d - 1) \ ,$$

so we work on the last module.
From now on we put $\gamma := \gamma_d$ and we shall need the following (see also [13, Proposition 4.3.2])

Surjgamma-1 **Lemma 3.3.** *We have*

$$H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma - 1) H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) \ .$$

Proof. Since

$$H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) = \varinjlim_{K\subset\mathcal{F}_d} \varinjlim_{[K:F]<\infty} \varinjlim_{m} H^1_{fl}(X_K, A[p^m]) \ ,$$

an element $\alpha \in H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])$ belongs to some $H^1_{fl}(X_K, A[p^m])$. Now let $\gamma^{p^{s(K)}}$ be the largest power of γ which acts trivially on K, and define a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension K_∞ with $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K) = \overline{\langle\gamma^{p^{s(K)}}\rangle}$ and layers K_n. Take $t \geqslant m$, consider the restrictions

$$H^1_{fl}(X_K, A[p^m]) \to H^1_{fl}(X_{K_t}, A[p^m]) \to H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])$$

and denote by x_t the image of x. Now x_t is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(K_t/K)$ and $p^m x_t = 0$, so x_t is in the kernel of the norm $N_K^{K_t}$, i.e., x_t belongs to the (Galois) cohomology group

$$H^1(K_t/K, H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m]) \hookrightarrow H^1(K_\infty/K, H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m]) \ .$$

Let Ker^2_m be the kernel of the restriction map $H^2_{fl}(X_K, A[p^m]) \to H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])$, then, from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we have

HochSerKer
$$Ker^2_m \to H^1(K_\infty/K, H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m]) \to H^3(K_\infty/K, A(K_\infty)[p^m]) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

(because the p-cohomological dimension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is 1). To get rid of Ker^2_m note that, by [5, Lemma 3.3], $H^2_{fl}(X_K, A) = 0$. Hence, the cohomology sequence arising from

$$A[p^m] \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{p^m} A \ ,$$

yields an isomorphism $H^2_{fl}(X_K, A[p^m]) \simeq H^1_{fl}(X_K, A)/p^m$. Consider the commutative diagram (with $m_2 \geqslant m_1$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{fl}(X_K, A)/p^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2_{fl}(X_K, A[p^{m_1}]) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^{m_2-m_1}} & & \downarrow \\ H^1_{fl}(X_K, A)/p^{m_2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2_{fl}(X_K, A[p^{m_2}]) \ . \end{array}$$

An element of $H^1_{fl}(X_K, A)/p^{m_1}$ of order p^r goes to zero via the vertical map on the left as soon as $m_2 \geqslant m_1 + r$, hence the direct limit provides $\varinjlim_m H^1_{fl}(X_K, A)/p^m = 0$ and, eventually, $\varinjlim_m Ker^2_m = 0$ as well. By (3.2)

$$0 = \varinjlim_m H^1(K_\infty/K, H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m]) = H^1(K_\infty/K, H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) \ ,$$

which yields

$$H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma^{p^{s(K)}} - 1)H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma - 1)H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) \ .$$

We get the claim by taking the direct limit on the finite subextensions K. □

PNullThm
Theorem 3.4. *For any $d \geqslant 3$ we have $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) = (1)$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

DiagTan
$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} \\ Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

(where $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{X}_d, A) := \prod_w H^i_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d,w}, A)[p^\infty]$ and the surjectivity of the second vertical arrow comes from the previous lemma). Inserting $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) := Im(\phi)$, we get two diagrams

DiagTan1 (3.4)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} \\ Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma-1} \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \end{array} .$$

From the snake lemma sequence of the first one, we obtain the isomorphism

QuotSelIso (3.5)
$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}/Im(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) \simeq Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma - 1)$$

(where $\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}$ is the restriction of ϕ to $H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}$). The snake lemma sequence of the second diagram (its "upper" row) yields an isomorphism

CokerphiIso (3.6)
$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} \simeq Coker(\phi)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} .$$

The injection $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}$ induces an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}/Im(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}/Im(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}$$

(with a little abuse of notation we are considering $Im(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}})$ as a submodule of $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}$ via the natural injection above) which, by (3.5) and (3.6), yields the sequence

Tan42 (3.7)
$$Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma - 1) \hookrightarrow Coker(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) \twoheadrightarrow Coker(\phi)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} .$$

Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^d_{d-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{d-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^d_{d-1}, A) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1}, A) \end{array}$$

where:

- the vertical maps are all induced by the product of restrictions ϕ;
- the horizontal lines are just the Hochschild-Serre sequences for global and local cohomology;
- the 0 in the upper right corner comes from $H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) = 0$;
- the surjectivity on the lower right corner comes from $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) = 0$, which is a direct consequence of [8, Theorem III.7.8].

This yields a sequence (from the snake lemma)

EqCoker (3.8)
$$Coker(\phi_{d-1}) \to Coker(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) \to \mathcal{H}^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1}, A) = \prod_w H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] .$$

The module $Coker(\phi_{d-1})$. The Kummer map induces a surjection $H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A)[p^\infty]$ which fits in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{d-1}} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow_{\lambda_{d-1}} & \\ H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A)[p^\infty] & & \end{array} .$$

This induces natural surjective maps $Im(\phi_{d-1}) \twoheadrightarrow Im(\lambda_{d-1})$ and, eventually, $Coker(\lambda_{d-1}) \twoheadrightarrow Coker(\phi_{d-1})$. For any finite extension K/F we have a similar map

$$\lambda_K : H^1(X_K, A)[p^\infty] \to \mathcal{H}^1(X_K, A)$$

whose cokernel verifies

$$Coker(\lambda_K)^\vee \simeq T_p(Sel_{A^t}(K)_p)$$

(by [4, Main Theorem]), where A^t is the dual abelian variety of A. Moreover there is an embedding of $T_p(Sel_{A^t}(K)_p)$ into the p-adic completion of $\mathcal{H}^0(X_K, A^t)$ (recall that, by Tate local duality, $A^t(K_v) = H^0(K_v, A^t)$ is the Pontrjagin dual of $H^1(K_v, A)$, see [8, Theorem III.7.8]). Taking limits on all the finite subextensions of $\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ we find similar relations

$$Coker(\lambda_{d-1})^\vee \simeq T_p(Sel_{A^t}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})_p) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_K \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}^0(X_K, A^t)/p^n = \varprojlim_K \varprojlim_n A^t(K)[p^\infty]/p^n \ .$$

Hence $Coker(\lambda_{d-1})^\vee$ embeds into a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, i.e., it is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ pseudo-null for any $d \geqslant 3$.

The modules $H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]$. If the prime splits completely in $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$, then obviously $H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] = 0$. If the place is ramified or inert, then $\Gamma^d_{d-1,w} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Consider the exact sequence

$$A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p] \hookrightarrow A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) \xrightarrow{p} pA(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) \ ,$$

which yields a surjection

$$H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p]) \twoheadrightarrow H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p] \ .$$

The module on the left is trivial because $cd_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$, hence $H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p] = 0$ and this yields $H^2(\Gamma^d_{d-1,w}, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] = 0$ as well.

The sequence (3.8) implies that $Coker(\phi^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}})$ is $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ pseudo-null for $d \geqslant 3$ and, by (3.7), we get $Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma - 1)$ is pseudo-null as well. Therefore

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma^d_{d-1}}) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((Sel(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma-1))^\vee) = (1) \ .$$

□

A direct consequence of equation (2.7) and Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 is

CorTan **Corollary 3.5.** *Assume $\mathcal{F}/F$ is a totally ramified extension, then, for any $d \gg 0$ and any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subextension $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$, one has*

CharIdSel5 (3.9) $$\pi^d_{d-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = (p^\nu) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \ .$$

Moreover if no unramified places $v \in S_A$ splits completely in $\mathcal{F}$, then

CharIdSel4 (3.10) $$\pi^d_{d-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \ .$$

In any case the modules $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ verify the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 (because of Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 3.4), so we can define

CharIdSel **Definition 3.6.** The *pro-characteristic ideal* of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ is

$$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) := \varprojlim_d (\pi^{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)})^{-1}(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)))$$

where $\pi^{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)} : \Lambda(\mathcal{F}) \to \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ is the natural projection map.

We remark that Definition 3.6 only depends on the extension $\mathcal{F}/F$ and not on the filtration of $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$-extension we choose inside it. Indeed with two different filtrations $\{\mathcal{F}_d\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}'_d\}$ we can define a third one by putting

$$\mathcal{F}''_0 := F \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}''_n = \mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{F}'_n \quad \forall\, n \geqslant 1\ .$$

By Corollary 3.5, the limits of the characteristic ideals of the filtrations we started with coincide with the limit on the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}''_n\}$ (see [3, Remark 3.11] for an analogous statement for characteristic ideals of class groups).

This pro-characteristic ideal could play a role in the Iwasawa Main Conjecture (IMC) for a totally ramified extension of F as the algebraic counterpart of a p-adic L-function associated to A and $\mathcal{F}$ (see [1, Section 5] or [2, Section 3] for similar statements but with Fitting ideals). Anyway, at present, the problem of formulating a (conjectural) description of this ideal in terms of a natural p-adic L-functions (i.e., a general non-noetherian Iwasawa Main Conjecture) is still wide open. However, we can say something if A is already defined over the constant field of F.

FinalThm **Theorem 3.7. [Non-noetherian IMC for constant abelian varieties]** *Assume A/F is a constant abelian variety and let $\mathcal{F}/F$ be a totally ramified extension as above. Then there exists an element $\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}}$ interpolating the classical L-function $L(A,\chi,1)$ (where χ varies among characters of $Gal(\mathcal{F}/F)$) such that one has an equality of ideals in $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$*

e:lltt (3.11) $$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) = (\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}})\ .$$

Proof. This is a simple consequence of [7, Theorem 1.3]. Namely, the element $\theta_{A,L}$ is defined in [7, Section 7.2.1] for any abelian extension L/F unramified outside a finite set of places. It satisfies $\pi^d_{d-1}(\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_d}) = \theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_{d-1}}$ by construction and the interpolation formula (too complicated to report it here) is proved in [7, Theorem 7.3.1]. Since A has good reduction everywhere, (3.9) always holds, so both sides of (3.11) are defined. Finally [7, Theorem 1.3] proves that $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)) = (\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_d})$ for all d and (3.11) follows by just taking a limit. □

References

[1] A. Bandini - I. Longhi, *Control theorems for elliptic curves over function fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **5** (2009), no. 2, 229–256.

[2] A. Bandini - F. Bars - I. Longhi, *Aspects of Iwasawa theory over function fields*, arXiv:1005.2289v2 [math.NT] (2011), to appear in the EMS Congress Reports.

[3] A. Bandini - F. Bars - I. Longhi, *Characteristic ideals and Iwasawa theory*, arXiv:1310.0680 [math.NT] (2013).

[4] C.D. González-Avilés - K.-S. Tan, *A generalization of the Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence*, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 2, 295–302.

[5] C.D. González-Avilés - K.-S. Tan, *On the Hasse principle for finite group schemes over global function fields*, Math. Res. Lett. **19** (2012), no. 2, 453-460.

[6] R. Greenberg, *The Iwasawa invariants of Γ-extensions of a fixed number field*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 204–214.

[7] K.F. Lai - I. Longhi - K.-S. Tan -F. Trihan, *On the Iwasawa Main conjecture of abelian varieties over function fields*, arXiv:1205.5945 [math.NT].

[8] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems. Second edition*, BookSurge, LLC, 2006.

[9] T. Ochiai, *Euler system for Galois deformations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), no. 1, 113–146.

[10] T. Ochiai - F. Trihan, *On the Selmer groups of abelian varieties over function fields of characteristic $p > 0$*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **146** (2009), 23–43.

[11] M. Rosen, *Number theory in function fields*, **GTM 210**, Springer-Verlag, New york, 2002.

[12] K.-S. Tan, *A generalized Mazur's theorem and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4433–4450.

[13] K.-S. Tan, *Selmer groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$-extensions*, arXiv:1205.3907v2 [math.NT] (2012), to appear in Math. Ann..

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, 53/A - 43124 Parma (PR), Italy
E-mail address: andrea.bandini@unipr.it

Departament Matemàtiques, Edif. C, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Catalonia
E-mail address: francesc@mat.uab.cat

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, 111 Ren Ai Road, Dushu Lake Higher Education Town, Suzhou Industrial Park, Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215123, China
E-mail address: Ignazio.Longhi@xjtlu.edu.cn